

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/944,239	08/31/2001	Akio Takahashi	PW 027 7012 H7538US	1781
7	590 08/01/2003		·	
Mr. Roger R. Wise PILLSBURY MADISON & SUTRO LLP 725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 1200			EXAMINER	
			KIM, PAUL L	
Los Angeles, CA 90017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>i.</i> /			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/944,239	TAKAHASHI, AKIO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul L Kim	2857			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondenc address			
Period f r Reply	VIO OFT TO EVOIDE	O MONTH (C) FROM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replevely in the second of the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, ma oly within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6) e. cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed  f thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  te ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31</u>	<u>August 2001</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application	ı.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3, and 5</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement				
Application Papers	<b>01 010011011 10 4 111</b> 1				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)[	disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received	in Application No			
Copies of the certified copies of the pri     application from the International B     * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(	a)).			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:	rovisional application ha	as been received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:			

Application/Control Number: 09/944,239

Art Unit: 2857

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

 Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 6 should be dependent on claim 5 instead of claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuji et al. in view of Marks.

With regard to claims 1, 4, 7, and 8, Tsuji et al. teaches a background noise eliminating apparatus comprising: an envelope detector that detects an envelope of an impulse response waveform (fig. 3, part 16), a section detector that takes an average of a section in the envelope during a predetermined time (col. 2, lines 15-19 and col. 4, lines 22-29), a determining device that determines a background noise component value (col. 4, lines 30-36), and a background noise component eliminator that reduces an absolute value of the sample data by the background noise component (fig. 14, part 30).

Tsuji et al. teaches the section detector taking an average value of an envelope over a predetermined time but does not teach the section detector detecting a slope of

Application/Control Number: 09/944,239 Page 3

Art Unit: 2857

the envelope that takes a value in a predetermined range including zero. Marks teaches a method of modifying waveforms in which a slope of an envelope takes a value of zero is detected and used to eliminate background noise (fig. 3 and col. 12, lines 55-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify Tsuji et al., so that the slope of an envelope taking a value of zero is detected, as taught by Marks, so as to determine when the impulse response has been terminated in order to stop the background noise elimination process.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2, 3, 5, and 6 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not teach a noise eliminating apparatus in which a DC offset value is determined from the impulse response waveform and then subtracted from the impulse response waveform.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ito and Holden both teach a howling cancellation system that takes an envelope of an impulse response waveform. Berdugo teaches a noise cancellation system by time domain processing sub-bands of a digital signal.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,239

Art Unit: 2857

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 703-308-1677. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-4440 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PK July 22, 2003 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 4